



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,989	01/26/2004	Sigrid Buhler	272748US0X	6777
22850 7590 03/11/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER LAU, JONATHAN S	
			ART UNIT 1623	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 03/11/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/764,989	Applicant(s) BUHLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Jonathan S. Lau	Art Unit 1623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan S. Lau. (3) Frederick Vastine.

(2) Shaojia Anna Jiang. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 Mar 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: n/a.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pfleiderer (US Pat 5,763,599), Fodor (US Pat 5,489,678).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art and the data of the specification were discussed regarding comparative evidence and the position of the aryl group on the nitrophenyl ring. The written description and indefinite terminology issues raised under 112 first and second paragraph were discussed. The Examiner's position regarding the non-limiting definitions and terminology was explained regarding these maintained rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Shaojia Anna Jiang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623
--	--